

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,658	03/17/2004	Rodrick A. HERDMAN	EZL-001M	2657
26868 7590 07/18/2008 HASSE & NESBITT LLC 8837 CHAPEL SQUARE DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			GALL, LLOYD A	
SUITE C CINCINNATI,	OH 45249		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/708.658 HERDMAN, RODRICK A. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3673 Lloyd A. Gall All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Llovd A. Gall. (2) Daniel F. Nesbitt. (4)____. Date of Interview: 17 July 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: . . Identification of prior art discussed: Monahan (002). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Nesbitt discussed the change tool of the instant application, and argued that the Monahan reference does not teach a change tool configured to raise all of the change balls, and that the Monahan reference is not intended to function in this manner. Mr. Nesbitt indicated that he would discuss this further in his next response.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Lloyd A. Gall/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office